UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/633,692	08/05/2003	Jozsef Varga	60282-00078	7605	
	7590 11/14/2007 DERS & DEMPSEY L.L.I	EXAMINER			
14TH FLOOR		SALAD, ABDULLAHI ELMI			
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2157		
,			<del></del>		
	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		11/14/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.    10/633,692						A A		
Examiner   Salad E. Abdullahi   2157			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Salad E. Abdullahi  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address –  Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Eightentons or time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply contents by the Office bere then then eminists after the mailing date of this communication, even if simely filled, may reduce any extended any extended particles from the mailing date of this communication. Explicitly the status of the mailing date of the communication, even if simely filled, may reduce any extended any extended particles from the mailing date of this communication. Explicitly the provided any extended particles from the mailing date of this communication, even if simely filled, may reduce any extended any extended particles. Any reduce any extended any extended particles from application in the communication. Explicitly for the application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Explicitly for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Explicitly for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Explicitly for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Explicitly for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in a control for formal practice. The formal matters is conside	Office Action Summary		10/633,69	2	VARGA ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Entendance of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 13(9). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuta, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any regly received by the Office late that hine emotins after the mailing date of this communication. even if simely field, may reduce any extension patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(8).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 20 August 2007.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-3-1 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wilthdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 3 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) 4-33 is/are rejected.  10 □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed.  11 □ The ordawing(s) filed on is/are allowed.  12 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  11 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  21 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			Examiner		Art Unit			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Palline to reply which the set or extended period for reply with systatus, cause the application to bacome ABANDONED (30 U.S. 0. § 133).  - Palline to reply which the set or extended period for reply with greating, cause the application to bacome ABANDONED (30 U.S. 0. § 133).  - Palline to reply which the set or extended period for reply with greating, cause the application to bacome ABANDONED (30 U.S. 0. § 133).  - Palline to reply which the set or extended period for reply with greating, cause the application to bacome ABANDONED (30 U.S. 0. § 133).  - Palline to reply which the set or extended period for reply with greating, cause the application to bacome ABANDONED (30 U.S. 0. § 133).  - Palline to reply which the set or extended period for reply with period or palline, and the set of this communication.  - Palline to reply which the set or extended period or reply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Palline to reply which the set or extended period or palline period or the communication.  - Palline to reply which the set of the palline period of the communication.  - Palline to reply which the set of the palline period of the communication.  - Palline to reply which the set of the priod of the priod of the priod of the palline period of the palline period of the priod to documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			Salad E. A	bdullahi	2157			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In on event, however, may a reply be timely fled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply which the set or extended period for reply with by saludis, causes the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). HIV Operator reply is period above, the mailing date of this communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Since the saludistic period of the communication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-3½ (s/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on solve that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		LING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2007.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Nome * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	A SHORTENEI WHICHEVER I - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MONI - If NO period for reg - Failure to reply with Any reply received	S LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 THS from the mailing date of this communication. By is specified above, the maximum statutory perion in the set or extended period for reply will, by statubly the Office later than three months after the mail	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no eve od will apply and wil ute, cause the appl	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-2½ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-2½ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on 20	August 2007					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) :: is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) :: is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) :: are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on :: is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	2a) This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is n	on-final.				
A) Claim(s) 1-3-2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4) Claim(s) 1-3½ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	closed in	accordance with the practice under	r Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Disposition of Cla	ims		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	e above claim(s) is/are withdr is/are allowed. is/are rejected. is/are objected to.	rawn from cor					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Paper	S						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9) The speci	fication is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	10)☐ The draw	ing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	ccepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	• •			·	, ,			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	• • • •	•	<b>U</b> , ,	•	` '		
<ul> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>	Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119						
	a) All b) 1. Ce 2. Ce 3. Co ap	Some * c) None of:  rtified copies of the priority docume rtified copies of the priority docume pies of the certified copies of the pri plication from the International Bure	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati ents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	<ul><li>2)  Notice of Draftsp</li><li>3)  Information Discl</li></ul>	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Paper No(s)/Mail D  Notice of Informal F	ate			

## Response

1. The response filed on 8/20/2007has been received and made of record.

**2.** Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been fully considered but are not persuasive for the following reasons.

Applicant alleges "DTI fails to disclose or suggest at least the feature of first determining, based on the received processing result, whether a service request processing for the second user is to be stopped" as recited in the independent claims. In other words, the presently claimed invention defines the terminating procedures i.e., "for the second user". This feature is neither disclosed nor suggested by DTI. For example, DTI merely describes processes for the initiating caller and does not mention a second user"

Examiner respectfully disagrees because DTI first determining, based on the received processing result, whether a service request processing for the second user (i.e., called party) is to be stopped.) For example DTI describes Automatic Alternative Billing (AAB): This service allows a first user to call another/second user and ask him or her to receive the call at his or her expense. Two steps are involved: the calling party is welcomed to record a brief message giving the caller's name and explaining the call reason, then the called party is alerted, receives the recorded message and is asked to accept to be charged for that call. Another feature of DTI describes "Calling user A attempts to make a call. The call is screened via the screening list assigned to the originating line. The call is allowed to complete and is connected; 2) Calling user A attempts to make a call to a line with deactivated override feature. The call is screened

Art Unit: 2157

via the screening list assigned to the originating line. The call is not allowed to complete. Because the override option is not active, there are no override prompts; 3) Calling user A attempts to make a call to a line with an activated override feature. The call is screened via the screening list assigned to the originating line. The call is not allowed to complete. Because the override option is active, the user is prompted for the identity code and enters the proper code. The call is connected. (see page 19, lines 1-10).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by (DTI Networks) **WO 00/54485** [hereinafter DTI Networks].

As per claim 1, DTI Networks discloses a method of processing a service request in an IP multimedia core network, comprising the steps of:

receiving a service request initiated by a first user, for a second user receiving a call from user A to user B) (see page 20, lines 21-25);

forwarding the received service request to a unit for processing a service (CPS) (see page 47, line 20, to page 48, line 2);

receiving a processing result from the processing unit (switch receiving response back from PCS) (see page 46 lines 1 to page 47, line 5); and

Application/Control Number: 10/633,692

Art Unit: 2157

first determining, based on the received processing result, whether a service request processing for the second user is to be stopped (i.e., called party)(see page 47, line 20-48, line 2).

As per claim 2-4, DTI Networks discloses the method according to claim 1, wherein the first determining step further comprises the steps of:

checking whether the processing result received from the processing unit includes an indication for stopping the service request processing for the second user, and when the indication is present, stopping the service request processing for the second user (see pages 41, line 16 to page 42, line 6).

As per claim 5, DTI Networks discloses the method according to claim 1, further comprising including destination identifiers in the service request forwarded to the processing unit and the processing result received from the processing unit, the first determining step further comprising the steps of:

comparing the destination identifiers of the service request forwarded to the processing unit and the processing result received from the processing unit, and stopping the service request processing for the second user when the compared destinations identifiers are different (see page 24, lines 13-16).

As per claim 6, DTI Networks discloses the method according to claim 1, further comprising the step of: second determining, based on the received processing result,

Art Unit: 2157

whether to forward the service request to a third user (see page 41, line 16 to page line 6).

As per claims 7-11, DTI Networks discloses the method according to claim 6, further comprising the steps of including destination identifiers within the service request forwarded to the processing unit and the processing result received from the processing unit;

the second determining step further comprising the steps of:

comparing the destination identifiers of the service request forwarded to the processing unit and the processing result received from the processing unit(see page 41, line 16 to page line 6); and

switching to originating mode and forwarding the service request based on the destination identifier included in the processing result when a determination is made that the compared destination identifiers are different (see page 41, line 16 to page line 6).

As per claims 12-33, the claims include features similar to claims 1-11, thus claims 12-33 are rejected same rational as claims 1-11.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Art Unit: 2157

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

## **Conclusion**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Salad E Abdullahi whose telephone number is 571-272-4009. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on 571-272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

As

11/12/2007

